

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_88322
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of probiotic potentials of lactic acid bacteria isolated from some locally fermented foods.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajfrn.com/index.php/AJFRN/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Theme or title: topic according to the scope of the journal• Abstract: there are only methods, results and conclusions so that suggestions are added for research purposes• Introduction: quite good because there is a state of the art supported by appropriate reference citations.• Materials and methods: good and complete because there are stages of research and methods of analyzing results in accordance with research objectives.• Research results: good because there is a complete analysis data table and in accordance with the research method• Discussion: added an explanation of the importance of why analysis of cholesterol, bile salt assimilation, acid tolerance and determination of hemolytic activity is necessary?• Conclusion: good and in accordance with research objectives.• References: complete and citation of references supports the discussion.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Check Grammarly (highlight yellow)• Update ancient references with new reference citations of the last 5-10 years	
Optional/General comments	Manuscript acceptable with minor revision	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Agnes Sri Harti
Department, University & Country	Kusuma Husada University of Surakarta, Indonesia