

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_87685
Title of the Manuscript:	Review on Nutritional Content of Various Types of Rice
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajfrn.com/index.php/AJFRN/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	-This study is poor in scientific contents. Please, you should add more scientific determinations about this subject. - The writing is too poor and the manuscript full of grammatical mistakes, there are many typing and grammatical mistakes were observed. - In qualitative research papers, you may have fewer references. Anything you cite in your paper should be listed in the references section (or reference list). Anything listed as a reference should have been quoted or paraphrased in the text. If either rule is violated, something is wrong.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	- Captions for tables are placed above the table (typically left aligned), and captions for figures are placed below the figure. -Replace the references of older years with the reference of latest years. -Also mention the issue number in addition to the volume of journals in references. -It is preferable to delete websites and replace them with scientific references. -Arrange the references according to format of Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alaa Jabbar Al-Manhel
Department, University & Country	University of Basrah, Iraq