Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_89749
Title of the Manuscript:	Characteristics of Bacillus CgM22 and The Effect of Induction on The Absolute Weight in Carp (Cyprinus carpio)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 In the abstract it is necessary to specify a clear research objective and statistical analysis of the data, in the statistical test with a confidence level of p 0.05 not p 0,0005 The unit for the number of bacteria commonly used, for example, is 180 CPU Bacillus CgM22. The treatment is not clear? the formula used to measure absolute biomass growth and FCR needs to be displayed, then the amount of feed given in g, and the frequency of feeding need to be explained in detail statements about the absolute weight of the results of the analysis are different from those in the text and conclusions In conclusion, answer the research objectives Clear bacterial units, so that in each treatment the number of bacteria/bacterial colonies can be estimated, for each treatment or suspected by picking test 	
Minor REVISION comments	The results of absolute weight research based on data analysis produce a real difference, so which one is correct?	
Optional/General comments	in writing, the units are written standard, according to the applicable rules, for example writing the unit temperature, and others. Water quality observation data must be presented from some of my comments, I hope you understand and fix it for the better	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pinandoyo
Department, University & Country	Diponegoro University, Indonesia

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)