

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_89656
Title of the Manuscript:	Composition of Results and Capture Rate of Purse Seine Fishery Unit at TPI Pelabuhan Tegal Jawa Tengah
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- This research was conducted by collecting secondary data recorded at the Fish Auction Port of Tegal for purse seine fishing gear docked at the port. However, the authors also describe purse seine fishing gear.- The title of this manuscript should be "Catch and Capture Rate Analysis of Purse Seine Landed at Fish Auction Port of Tegal, Central Java, Indonesia.- Authors do not understand what the actual contents of the introduction, methodology, result, discussion and conclusion of a manuscript are.- Authors also do not understand the connection between; objectives with the results or data collected. The data collected should be in accordance with the research objectives.- In this paper the authors do not understand what a discussion is, so there is almost no discussion.- The resulting conclusion is also not in line with the objectives.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Some words are still written in Indonesian, for example in Fig. 1, 3 and 4.- The data presented is quite interesting but the authors also do not understand the concept of an article.- I am willing to help the authors to rearrange this paper and then resubmit it to this journal.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Irwan Effendi
Department, University & Country	University of Riau, Indonesia