

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_89312
Title of the Manuscript:	Effectiveness of Water Hyacinth (Eichhornia Crassipes) And Water Spinach (Ipomoea Aquatica) to Reduce Nitrate and Phosphate Concentrations in Cimulu River Water, Tasikmalaya City
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	MS supported by 3 table and 13 figures including photographs. Presentation of the MS well and good, impressive. -Presentation of data from experimental results informative and easily understandable -Materials and methods up to date. -References up to date as well. -The results showed that water hyacinth weighing 200 g is more effective in reducing nitrate and phosphate than water spinach with the same weight. -Further on Water hyacinth can reduce nitrate by 61.72% and phosphate by 78.27% with the rate of absorption of nitrate in one day reaching 0.006 g/m ² and phosphate of 0.038 g/m ² . The growth rate of water hyacinth at weeks I, II, III and IV were 5.03±0.971%, 6.31±0.971%, 6.80±0.321% and 7.18±0.277%. Meanwhile, other water parameters that can be reduced are BOD from 37.3 mg/L to 8.10 mg/L. -Overall, the MS is found to be significant and recommended for publication.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammad Manjur Shah
Department, University & Country	Yusuf Maitama Sule University, Nigeria