

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_88011
Title of the Manuscript:	The Contribution of Aquaculture Sector in Regional Development of West Bandung District, West Java Province
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Abstract The Abstract should contain the following: Title of the study Brief Statement of the problem Methodology used Summary of the Interpreted results Brief Conclusion and Recommendation(s)</p> <p>Introduction The Author(s) should just discuss the following Summary of the Introduction Summary of the Background of the study Summary of the Statement of the problem What the Author(s) intended to achieve</p> <p>Literature Review The Author(s) should be able to discuss the following: Conceptual Framework Theoretical Framework Empirical Review</p> <p>Methodology The Researcher(s) should discuss the following under the Research Methodology Research Method Research design Population of the study Sample size and Sampling Techniques Method of Data Collection Method of data Analysis If necessary the Reliability and Validity of data State the Model Specification Data Estimation and Evaluation Techniques Model Estimation and Evaluation Technique Ethical Consideration</p> <p>Data Analysis and Interpretation The Author(s) needs to show the Descriptive Statistics Table Author(s) should interpret each of the variables The Author(s) should discuss the findings Summary, Conclusion and Recommendation(s)</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alpha Bernard Bangura
Department, University & Country	Liberia Christian University College, Liberia