

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_86131
Title of the Manuscript:	EPA, DHA, ADG, AND FCR IN BIOFLOC CATFISH FOR IMPROVING QUALITYOF THE NUTRIENT WITH FISH OIL ADMINISTRATION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<div>1. In the Introduction section, the drawbacks of each conventional technique should be described clearly.</div> <div>2. You should emphasize the difference between other methods to clarify the position of this work further.</div> <div>3. The Wide ranges of applications need to be addressed in the Introduction</div> <div>4. Add the advantages of the proposed system in one quoted line for justifying the proposed approach in the Introduction section.</div> <div>5. In the introduction, the findings of the present research work should be compared with the recent work of the same field towards claiming the contribution made. , kindly provide several references to substantiate the claim made in the abstract (that is, provide references to other groups who do or have done research in this area).</div> <div>6. Authors can refer to some latest related works from reputed journals like IEEE/ACM Transactions, Elsevier, Inderscience, Springer, Taylor & Francis, etc.</div>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Balakrishnan
Department, University & Country	Sri Krishna College of Engineering and Technology, India