

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_86131
Title of the Manuscript:	EPA, DHA, ADG, AND FCR IN BIOFLOC CATFISH FOR IMPROVING QUALITYOF THE NUTRIENT WITH FISH OIL ADMINISTRATION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. the abstract part is not clear, the overall conclusion of this research has not been written and The purpose of the study is not clear, it aims to determine the right dose of fish oil to increase growth, what growth? catfish or what kind of fish.2. The introduction section is not clear, add the latest references on each parameter of this study, for example, EPA, DHA, ADG, AND FCR IN BIOFLOC CATFISH3. explain why use fish test with catfish? it is necessary to add a reference to catfish as a test fish in this study, each providing reasons for its advantages and disadvantages. examples of fish species Clarias sp4. the materials and methods are not clear, the experimental design has not been added, such as using SPSS analysis what? quantitatively or descriptively5. From this table, it has not been explained which one is the best and must be compared with the latest reference and what the normal number is.6. reference writing has not been written	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prayogi Sunu
Department, University & Country	Indonesia