

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_85787
Title of the Manuscript:	Fatty Acid Profile of Atlantic horse mackerel (Trachurus trachurus) oil obtained using different extraction methods
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	1. No need discussion statement in the abstract. 2. A clarification of “cool place” (in the methods in the last sentences in part 2.5) is needed. 3. All the formulas in the Material and Methods should be written using “equation tools”. 4. All notes below tables should be written using smaller font, to distinguish the notes from the body text. 5. The last sentence in the 4th paragraph of the Discussion part, need to be continued with another sentence explaining the indication. 6. The last two paragraphs are not clear, either those are new paragraphs or they should be joined with the previous paragraph?. 7. The word “Studies” in the sentence : Studies have shown that the omega-3 (ω-3) and...., needs to be clarified, what studies are referred to? The authors only cite one reference. 8. The authors are suggested to add a recommendation based on the conclusion.	
Optional/General comments		

Comment [NN1]: which studies? the author only provide one reference citation

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	N. Nadiarti
Department, University & Country	Universitas Hasanuddin, Indonesia