

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_84519
Title of the Manuscript:	Water Quality of a Tectonic Lake: Hazar Lake
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1-Figure 1 does not show the streams of the rivers that flow into the lake, such as a river Zikkim,Sevsak,Mogal,Creek ,must change the map. 2-Reformulating and expanding the conclusions. 3-Adding recommendations in the research for the purpose of generalizing the benefit of all readers with specializes.	
Minor REVISION comments	1-Table No. 1 must explain all the values of the minerals analyzed for all the elements, and the periods of taking sampling for these components. Not just the rates. 2-Water samplings must be accompanied by the coordinates of the locations for taking the samples, as well as they must be at different depths. The standardization measurements of the minerals analysis values, where the researcher's unit values for the elements, for example, the magnesium element, are milligrams/liter, while the unit of values for other researchers on the same area are in the micrograms/liter. 3-Mention just the names of the lake fish, not the types of fish found in the Caspian Lake (reformulation).	
Optional/General comments	--	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raad M. Wafur Abdulrazzaq
Department, University & Country	Green Alqasim University, Iraq