

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_84319
Title of the Manuscript:	HEAVY METAL ACCUMULATION AMONG DIFFERENT ORGANS OF CULTURED ROHU AND CATLA ALONG WITH EVALUATION OF ENZYMATIC ACTIVITIES IN EXAMINED ORGANS.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The corrections I recommend are included in the manuscript, with the "change control" mode (Word).</p> <p><u>In Sec. CONCLUSION (pp.11):</u></p> <p>I recommend replacing this paragraph: "Despite a higher than the recommended values have recorded for Arsenic in Catla; its accumulation in the muscle tissues for the same fish are lower than the permissible value which in turn is a blessing as muscles is the most edible parts by human."</p> <p>By: "Despite that a value greater than the recommended values has been recorded for Arsenic in Catla fish; their accumulation in muscle tissues for the same fish are less than the permissible value. This is an important advantage since the muscles are the most edible parts by the human being."</p> <p><u>In Sec. REFERENCES (pp. 11-13):</u></p> <p>I recommend: Arrange and unify the year of each reference at the end. Also unify use of "&".</p>	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Guillermo Martín Caille
Department, University & Country	Universidad Nacional de la Patagonia San Juan Bosco, Argentina