

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_81638
Title of the Manuscript:	Insight into the Utilization of the Blue Sources of Funds in Fisheries Investment in Zanzibar
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1.Abstract should include the summary of the results and the statistical analysis from which inferences were drawn. 2.Abstract contains about 160 words but should contain about 250 – 300 words. Abstract is the summary of the research and should contain relevant information that will show the details of what was done. The format for writing abstract is; introduction, aim, methodology, results, conclusion and recommendation. 3. Abbreviations should be written in full first before including them for example Food and Agricultural organization (FAO). 4. Blue sources of funds should be explained in the introduction as one of the key words in the title of this research. 5. Tables should be in standard table format 6. Statistical decision rules and level of significance should be clearly stated when drawing inferences from the statistical analysis	
Minor REVISION comments	Necessary corrections should be made where indicated especially grammatical errors.	
Optional/General comments	NIL	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ikeogu Chika Florence
Department, University & Country	Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nigeria