Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_88828
Title of the Manuscript:	PHONEMIC CORRESPONDENCE IN BARUS PASAR AND BARUS KAMPUNG MUDIK
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	✓ You have to pay more attention to how to cite reference. Error is found, as in:	THE THE TECHNICAL THE TECHNICA
	(1) Riris Tiani, "Balinese and Sumbawa Language Correspondence," pp. 118–127	
	(year?).	
	✓ Please, write the meaning of each word in the table, instead of its gloss.	
	✓ Please, give further explanation about each local language mentioned in that	
	journal article and their relation to other languages classified as Austronesian	
	languages.	
Minor REVISION comments	✓ Grammar errors, as in:	
	(1) The study <i>investigate</i> the phonemic correspondence in Barus Pasar and Barus	
	Kampung Mudik located in Sibolga coast, north sumatera., it should be "The study	
	investigates the phonemic correspondence in Barus Pasar and Barus Kampung Mudik	
	located in Sibolga Coast, North Sumatera." - (<i>investigates</i> as the subject is singular	
	noun);	
	(2) Four respondents aparticipated in this study with age more than 70 years old, live in	
	sibolga, and able to speak Indonesian., it should be "Four respondents participated in	
	this study with age more than 70 years old, live in Sibolga and is able to speak	
	Indonesian." - (verb is should be added to complete the phrase);	
	(3) <i>thus</i> Kui has a high level of correspondence to Hamap language than Kamang	
	language [6][6]., it should be the next sentence, as "Thus, Kui has a high level of	
	correspondence to Hamap language than Kamang language [6][6].";	
	✓ Vocabulary errors, as in:	
	(1) Phonemic correspondence <i>from</i> every language is different., it should be "Phonemic	
	correspondence of every language is different.";	
	(2) It was the reason to analyse the <i>phonemeic</i> correspondence in Barus Pasar and Barus	
	Kampung Mudik., it should be "It was the reason to analyse the phonemic	
	correspondence in Barus Pasar and Barus Kampung Mudik.";	
	(3) The techniques of data collection were observation and <i>questioner</i> ., it should be "The	
	techniques of data collection were observation and questionnaire.";	
	(4) There were four respondents with the criteria: sex: male/female, age: more than 70	
	years old, healthy <i>inside and outside</i> , <i>live</i> in Sibolga <i>coast</i> , <i>able</i> to speak Indonesian	
	[7]., it should be "There were four respondents with the criteria sex: male/female, aged	
	more than 70 years old, healthy both physically and mentally , living in Sibolga Coast ,	

	being able to speak Indonesian [7].";
	(5) It was found that there were sound <i>correspondence</i> between Barus Pasar language
	and Barus Kampung Mudik language <i>namely</i> : <i>Phonemic</i> correspondences in a~o, u~o,
	i~e, i~a, i~o, b~ ø, and ø~h., it should be "It was found that there were sound
	correspondences between Barus Pasar language and Barus Kampung Mudik
	language, <i>namely phonemic</i> correspondences in a~o, u~o, i~e, i~a, i~o, b~ Ø, and
	Ø~h.";
	(6) Them appearance of a~o were around 26 times., it should be " The appearance of
	correspondence a~o <i>was</i> around 26 times.";
	(7) It showed that the 26 words in Barus pasar language had correspondence with Barus
	kampung mudik language., it should be "It showed that 26 words in Barus pasar
	language had correspondence with Barus kampung mudik language.";
	(8) The couple of Phonemics u~o in the data were shown 11 times, it should be "The
	couple of <i>phonemic</i> u~o in the data <i>emerged</i> 11 times";
	(9) The Phonemic <i>Coresspodence</i> /i∼e/ was found 4 times…, it should be "The
	Phonemic <i>Correspondence</i> /i~e/ was found 4 times";
	(10) Phonemic <i>Coresspodence</i> /i∼a/ appeared in the data twice,, it should be
	"Phonemic <i>Correspondence</i> /i~a/ appeared in the data twice,";
	(11) Phonemic <i>Coresspodence</i> /b~ ø/ was found once in the data, it should be
	"Phonemic Correspondence /b~ ø/ was found once in the data";
	(12) The Phonemic <i>Coresspodence</i> /ø~h/ appeared 4 times, it should be "The
	Phonemic <i>Correspondence</i> /ø~h/ appeared 4 times";
	(13) it was found that between Barus Pasar and Barus Kampung Mudik has phonemic
	correspondence in vocal and consonant., it should be " it was found that between
	Barus Pasar and Barus Kampung Mudik has phonemic correspondence, both vocal
	and consonant.";
	(14) Vocal 'a,u and i' in Barus Pasar was reflected to vocal 'o' in Barus kampung mudik,
	while vocal 'l' in Barus Pasar was reflected to vocal "e and a" in Barus Kampung mudik.
	The reflection of consonant b in Barus pasar was to 'ø' in Barus Kampung Mudik
	and 'ø' in Barus Pasar to 'h" in Barus Kampung mudik., it should be "Vocal 'a,u and
	i' in Barus Pasar <i>corresponded to</i> vocal 'o' in Barus Kampung Mudik, while vocal 'l' in
	Barus Pasar <i>had phonemic connection to</i> vocal "e and a" in Barus Kampung Mudik.
	The relation of consonant b in Barus pasar and 'ø' in Barus Kampung Mudik was
	found, however, phoneme 'ø' in Barus Pasar linked with 'h" in Barus Kampung
	Mudik.".
Optional/General comments	
- Phones Control Contr	It is strongly recommended to improve your English, especially in Sciences and Linguistics
	term.
	term.

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ana Purwitasari
Department, University & Country	University of Freiburg, Indonesia