

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_88711
Title of the Manuscript:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN STUDENT DISCIPLINE AND ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE OF SECONDARY SCHOOL STUDENTS IN HABASWEIN SUB-COUNTY WAJIR COUNTY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Description about sampling is not clear.</li><li>2. It is needed to explain specifically how to be managed the collected data from different types of respondents (teachers, principals, counsellors, students, etc.).</li><li>3. Which respondents' data were used in hypothesis testing?</li><li>4. The correlation indexes shown in Table 1 were positive correlations. According to literature reviews and theories, it should be negative correlations.</li><li>5. The author used the term "predictors" for independent variables. But, it is noticed that correlation cannot say "whether predictor or not". It can be just said by regression analysis.</li></ol>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. In objective 2 and 3, it should not be used "to relate", "to associate". It should be – to find out the relationship ..... and – to examine the relationship .....</li></ol>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>2. The article is interesting.</li><li>3. Problem statement and literature review are good.</li><li>4. Hypothesis testing section should be revised.</li></ol>	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yar Zar Chit
Department, University & Country	Sagaing University of Education, Myanmar