Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJESS_88147**
Title of the Manuscript:	**TEXTBOOK EVALUATION AND QUALITY OF BUSINESS STUDIES TEXTBOOK IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS IN KENYA**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Briefly state why the specific design was used for the study. 2. Elaborate briefly the tools i.e. self-prepared or standardized. If standardized mention the author and year. Also, state which variables/factors were addressed by the tool. 3. Incorporate the steps undertaken in data collection in the 'Procedure of data collection'. 4. Incorporate the steps undertaken in data collection in the 'Procedure of data collection' 5. Incorporate/elaborate the statistical technique used for data analysis. 6. Justify how pilot study conducted on the same sample didn't affect their responses for final/ actual data. **7.** Reframe the results/ findings as they are not clearly explaining the same rather they seem more of generalised statements. Create sync. between the findings and objectives of the study.	
Minor REVISION comments	1. Make grammatical corrections as suggested. 2. The heading is inappropriate as the text states for the rationale of the study and not the statement of the problem. 3. The sub-heading is not apt as the text is for conclusion.	
Optional/General comments	The topic of the study is interesting and promising.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Meenakshi**
Department, University & Country	**National Council of Educational Research and Training, India**