

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_87637
Title of the Manuscript:	PROPOSAL FOR AN EVALUATION MODEL CENTERED ON A STRONG INTERDISCIPLINARY APPROACH IN CBA (Competence-Based Approach): CASE OF SECONDARY SCHOOL IN THE FRANCOPHONE SUB-SECTION IN CAMEROON
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Your manuscript has too many pages (48 pages). Most scientific articles only range from 8 to 16 pages. Therefore try to reduce the number of pages of your Article. Unimportant and less important descriptions should be deleted. Thank you.</p> <p>Note: Please study the manuscript draft format from AJESS (Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies), follow the maximum number of pages allowed.</p> <p>In the Article Title</p> <p>The Article's title shows the main content and purpose, is short, informative and straightforward and captures the reader's attention. A short title is generally 7 to 12 words. Please consider revising the title of your Article.</p> <p>In the Abstract subsection</p> <p>(a) The abstract subsection generally contains the research background, research objective, research method, and research findings (explicitly stated). Please complete it.</p> <p>(b) The number of words in the abstract is limited, generally 150 words to 250 words. Please adjust the maximum words in the Abstract in your manuscript not to exceed the general normal limit and adjust it to the AJESS journal template format.</p> <p>In the Introduction subsection</p> <p>(a) The main research objectives need to be explicitly disclosed in the introduction subsection. Please complete it.</p> <p>(b) The further writing structure of the manuscript should be illustrated in the last paragraph of the introduction. Please consider adding it.</p> <p>(c) In the Introduction subsection, it is necessary to discuss related works from previous researchers (Related works must demonstrate that previous related works differ from your current research). So you show that your Article has the power and novelty of research that has never been studied before. Please add it</p> <p>In the Conclusion subsection</p> <p>In the Conclusion subsection, authors no longer need to conduct other discussions except discussing the results of the research (answering the problem formulation or research objectives), the novelties found from your research results, and suggestions for further study. Please revise it.</p> <p>In the References subsection</p> <p>(a) Journal references are dominated by old references, (journal references should use the latest journal references under the last 5 years). It's better to just delete references that are over 15 years old and over. Note: also consider adding new references that are not yet 5 years old.</p> <p>(b) Consider removing references [13], [14], [15],[17, [22-24]. [29]]and [53-56], or replacing them with references to other sources because these references are not suitable to be used as reference sources in reputable scientific journals (Please cite books or articles that are the results of research published in scientific journals or proceedings)</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anthony Anggrawan
Department, University & Country	University of Bumigora, Indonesia