

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_86292
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors affecting the Completion of Mathematics Homework in a Middle Secondary School in Bhutan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>It was a pleasure to be considered as a reviewer for this paper. Indeed, homework is an important element of the teaching and learning process. However, this work was not clear nor was it focused. For example, a clear purpose was not stated. The Literature Review added nothing substantive. The work was given attributes that are unique to human (anthropomorphism). The transitions were repetitious and simplistic (e.g., "In <b>addition</b>, the finding concluded that the homework improved academic achievement for high school students. <b>Further</b>, homework helped the students to develop their study habits. <b>Furthermore</b>, ...."). The writing was not up to scholarly standard. It may be that English is not the author's native language. However, if the work is to be printed in an English language journal, it must meet the grammatical standards for the language. Hence, the author must use Grammarly and/or employ the service of an editor.</p> <p>The sampling spoke to a "purposely" as well as a random method. It was not made clear how both were employed. Such a discrepancy negatively impacted the work.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rupert Green
Department, University & Country	Institute for Hands On Science, Engineering, Technology, USA