Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJESS_85794**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Research on the Instructional Design Ability of Pre-service Mathematics Teachers in China**
Type of the Article	**Review Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The topic of the study seems contemporary and significant. However, the author(s) are required to improve the manuscript in some aspects, which are highlighted below: 1. It is necessary to highlight the research gap in the abstract, and elaborate on this in the introduction section. 2. Please briefly mention the type of study and explain the method used i.e., where do you get the data and how many and how you gain/filter/analyze the information/data. You need to elaborate on the same in the method section. 3. Please also mention if you used any specific theory/framework/ approach or software. 4. Please conclude the abstract with a sentence mentioning the significance/implication of this study, which you can further discuss in the conclusion. 5. The introduction of the study could be more elaborate by citing some recent references to convince about the claim of the author that there is a growing research interest on the instructional design ability of pre-service mathematics teachers in China etc. Otherwise, the rationale of the current study will not be established. This part of a study needs to highlight more on the gaps and novelty of the study. 6. It is essential that a section with the details of methods of the study is added after the introduction section. In the method section the author needs to first mention the type of this research. If it is a review article, it is necessary to explain how many studies were collected, how those studies were filtered, and how the author selected proper information. Sources of Literature, Data Sources, Data Collection, Data Sorting etc. in this manuscript may come under the subheadings of the section. 7. There is a need for more elaborate explanation on data analysis, i.e., the stages of analytical procedure. The analytical stages can be further demonstrated later in the results section through visual representations such as tables/figures etc. 8. Please ensure correct use of in text citations. 9. I suggest that the results of your review are presented in a table, instead of lengthy discussion. 10. As mentioned above in number 4, the significance/implication of this study need to be highlighted in the conclusion.	
Minor REVISION comments	I also recommend rechecking the language carefully to ensure grammatical correctness and style of academic writing.	
Optional/General comments	All necessary comments are highlighted above.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** ***(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)***
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	***(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)***	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Takad Ahmed Chowdhury**
Department, University & Country	**University of Asia Pacific, Bangladesh**