

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_85794
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on the Instructional Design Ability of Pre-service Mathematics Teachers in China
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. in the abstract there is no explanation of the purpose, type of research (eg systematic literature review), data collection techniques, and data analysis techniques (eg interactive analysis, thematic analysis, or document analysis).2. On the methodology, please explain the time span of the data used (for example, the data used ranges from 2015-2022) and explain the criteria for the articles used as data.3. on the methodology, please also explain the data analysis techniques used (eg interactive data analysis, thematic analysis, document analysis, etc.)4. please pay attention to the journal template for writing references or bibliography (eg using APA style, or using other styles)	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. at the beginning of the research methodology, it would be nice to discuss the type of research (for example related to literature review)2. it would be nice to use the last 10 years reference3. on research results, please make the data obtained in the form of a table containing: author's name, year, research results or research objectives (for example).	
Optional/General comments	in general the article is good, coherent, and interesting	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Indah Suciati
Department, University & Country	Alkhairaat University, Indonesia