

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_85526
Title of the Manuscript:	Improving The Assessment Performance In Philippine Politics and Governance Through Project Reconnect
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>General</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">I found this study below the standard. So many problem identified from abstract to the end . I strongly recommend author to reconsider before it is submitted for publication. <p>Abstract</p> <p>Required to be written in standard form</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Tell us here sample participant in the study as well as , who exposed for new project (RECONNECT) . i.e expermental and control groups . as an expermental procedure . the abstract is vague in this context . author need to refin the abstract in the way it provide highlight of what is done .«Politics and Governance « Still at this instance it is difficulut for reader to understand what « politics and Governance» mean unless he/she is in Philippine. Author need to provide hint on it. <p>Method</p> <p>There are several things the author need to consider here in method section :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">The nature of the course thought is not clear ,As reader I am not clear the issue Philippine Politics and Governance of “below expectation”Politics and Governance is it a course , we do not know philippines education system so author need to explaine such things indeteil.It is a kind of expermental study as students are exposed to new situation « project RECONNECT» so it should be explaind variables under the study . the dependent and the independent variables shall be explained properly. <p>Sample</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Author selected 7 students for the study as sample from two section , however we do not know the total population in two section . <p>Result and Descussion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Now it becomes hard to understand this study inmy selfI am expecting , the result of 7 students selected as sample (see method section)Table1 , tabl2 etc presented here indicating the entier Grade 12 pre-test post –test . I am not clear what is going on here .Tables are not also explanatory .How many students are participated here in the result section which are not stated in method section !!!! <p>Discussion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">The result of the study only associated with one study Nejezchleb, 2020 which is focused on pandemic .So no discussion in the study. The author need to include Discussion section	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dejene Girma Denbel
Department, University & Country	Dilla University, Ethiopia