

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_85396
Title of the Manuscript:	The Academic Performance of Female Students in Integrated Science in Junior High Schools: Evidence from Aowin District, Western North Region of Ghana
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. <b>Abstract:</b> the findings are in three major areas; Socio-economic Factors, Teacher-related Factors and Psychological Factors Affecting Performance of JHS Female Students in Science. Hence, the key findings as highlighted in the abstract should reflect the three areas and the actual figures stated.</li><li>2. <b>Purpose of the study/literature review:</b> All the abbreviations should be written in full, eg JHS, STEM, SMT.</li><li>3. <b>Method:</b> The total population of the study area was not stated hence is difficult to know if the 375 is adequate as established by Krejcie and Morgan. How the validity of the instrument was determined should be stated.</li><li>4. <b>Recommendation:</b> it was recommended that the Guidance and counselling coordinators and the science departments at the various basic schools in the district should be resourced. However, there were no findings to the effect that they were under resourced.</li></ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The research paper has a comprehensive coverage of the planned topic and can proceed to be published after the corrections highlighted are made.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kennedy Nyeseh Ofori
Department, University & Country	Wesley College of Education, Ghana