

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_85338
Title of the Manuscript:	STAKEHOLDERS' PERCEPTIONS OF EXAMINATION MALPRACTICE AT THE COLLEGE OF DISTANCE EDUCATION UNIVERSITY OF CAPE COAST, GHANA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Researcher has developed a tool in the form of questionnaire which needs to be tested by many psychometric tests to make it valid and objective so in this case construct validity (both convergent and discriminate validity) and other necessary psychometric procedures like skewness, kurtosis, exploratory factor analysis and confirmatory factor analysis is missing in this study. Researcher has not clearly mentioned the sources and other authentic work relevant to construction of statements used in the study to obtain responses from participants.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Grammatical mistakes have been found in many sections of research paper particularly in conclusion part. Discussion part is missing and interpretation of tables needs to more clear and precise and studies mentioned in interpretation of tables should be removed and added in discussion part.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Researcher has not followed reference style as per the guidelines of journal.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zainab Hamid
Department, University & Country	University of Kashmir, India