

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_84992
Title of the Manuscript:	Strategies to encourage students' active participation through online teaching in mathematics
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Close editing for language – some has been done on the text.2. Tables and figures of results should be placed in appendices.3. The results should be related to references in the literature review.4. The questions in the text by the reviewer should be addressed.5. A few more up-to-date references on online learning should be added.6. Explain what exactly in detail the strategies that were used to teach the students.7. Detail the percentage of the students that reached the expected use of the strategies.8. Give some feedback of what some of the students said during the interviews. Alignment of the in-text citations and the references should be checked.	
Minor REVISION comments 1.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. A better description of the participants and teachers should be added such as age and language background of the participants and the experience of the teachers and their educational and linguistic background. Perhaps a few descriptions of the school's educational system and the medium of instruction.2. The significance of the research should be more highlighted.3. Too much quoting without page numbers. Quotations should be used only if they are important and give impact to the ideas of the author.4. The abstract could be shorter – give only the main results.	
Optional/General comments 1	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The article seems to be too general without specific numbers or percentages of the results.2. More recommendations of future research could be given.3. Again, the article needs very close editing for language errors by perhaps a native speaker.4. Perhaps the author or authors (not sure if one or more) could use the third person instead of the first person throughout the article.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nahla Nola Bacha
Department, University & Country	Lebanese American University, Lebanon