Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_84992
Title of the Manuscript:	Strategies to encourage students' active participation through online teaching in mathematics
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

Review	ver's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
		his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
3. 4. 5. 6.	there are no keywords after the abstract. on the methodology, please add an explanation of the research design used as stated in the abstract. On the methodology, there is no explanation regarding the Maxwell model of action research including the design drawings. whereas in the abstract it is stated that the Maxwell model of action research. What data analysis techniques are used in this research? On the finding, there is no visible research result from classroom action with a quantitative approach. there is no discussion related to research results and supporting theories or the results of previous research on the references, please sort alphabetically and pay attention to the rules of writing references, using the APA style or other types. please pay attention to the journal template.	
Minor REVISION comments		
2. 3.	Please further simplify the content of the abstract and pay attention to the number of words used (pay attention to the journal template). the size of the space is different from other sentences. Please use references for the last 5 to 10 years conclusions must be based on the findings that have been described.	
Optional/General comments 1.	in general the article is good, coherent, and interesting	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Indah Suciati
Department, University & Country	Alkhairaat University, Indonesia

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)