

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_84550
Title of the Manuscript:	The Utilisation of Educational Resources on Academic Achievement: A Case of Boa Amponsem Senior High School and Dunkwa Senior High Technical School
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Researchers collected data from two different types of schools but did not explain in detail why different types of schools were selected.- the name of the instrument used is not clearly detailed.- Researchers should differentiate the findings of the analysis according to the type of school of data collected.- Do not combine the findings of the analysis for the two schools as these two schools are of different types and each school has different resources.- The research questions were not written in an orderly and clear manner. Need to clarify research questions for students and teachers.- What is the function of making two different types of schools as study respondents? but there are no research questions related to the relationship and connection between these two schools?	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Refine the problem statement by involving more critical writing elements when making the description.- Improve the way you present the analysis and findings for each research question.- review the research question section and analysis of the findings so that there is a parallel between the questions and the findings in your writing.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Please use the references within 10th.- Use the right format to write your references in body of articles.- Please improve your article according to the suggestions/ reprimand that I gave in your article.- Improve writing techniques. one paragraph, no more than 10 lines so that the writing looks interesting and is able to uplift the reader.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nor Sa'adah Binti Jamaluddin
Department, University & Country	Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris, Malaysia