### **Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_84267
Title of the Manuscript:	Saving and Spending Attitudes of College Students
Type of the Article	

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

### **Review Form 1.6**

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

I have gone through the manuscript and noted some critical areas where modifications should be done before being published in your reputed journal. The major corrections are mentioned below.  1. The author has not mentioned the research objectives or questions.  2. It seems like the researcher has confusion between the research objectives and research problems. He she embedded some areas that should be addressed in research questions than a research problem.  3. The satement of the problem is too narrow. It fails to emphasize the gravity of the research.  4. No literature review.  5. In the result and discussion section, the author has used the hypothesis. However, herishe has failed to mention it in the methodology.  e.g.: "Since the computed f=10.01 is greater than 1.83 the null hypothesis can be rejected."  6. The researcher has used both inferential statistics and Anova. But in the study design, he/she has only mentioned the descriptive statistics.  7. The flow of the result and discussion need to be adjusted with subheadings to grab the reader's attention.  8. No references were given to the previous research in the findings.  9. The author should avoid the excessive use of words.  e.g.: the conclusions section, he/she has repetitively used the word "This implies/implies".  10. Several English language errors are observed across the paragraphs and should be corrected before publication.		Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
I have gone through the manuscript and noted some critical areas where modifications should be done before being published in your reputed journal. The major corrections are mentioned below.  1. The author has not mentioned the research objectives or questions.  2. It seems like the researcher has contission between the research objectives and research problems. He has embedded as ame areas that should be addressed in research problems represent the search objectives and research problems. He has hembedded as ame areas that should be addressed in research problems. He has hembedded as ame areas that should be addressed in research.  3. The statement of the problem is too narrow. It fails to emphasize the gravity of the research.  4. No literature review.  5. In the result and discussion section, the author has used the hypothesis. However, he/she has failed to mention it in the methodology.  4.g.: "Since the computed 1+10.01 is greater than 1.53 the null hypothesis can be rejected."  8. The researcher has used both inferential statistics and Anova. But in the study design, height has only mentioned the descriptive statistics.  7. The flow of the result and discussion need to be adjusted with subheadings to grab the readers attention.  8. No reference were given to the provious research in the findings.  9. The author should avoid the accessive use of words.  1. e.g.: In the conclusion section, he/she has repetitively used the word "This impliest/implies":  10. Several English language errors are observed across the paragraphs and should be corrected before publication.  Minor REVISION comments  The work here proposed is an interesting topic. The title is suitable and attractive and the			highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
should be done before being published in your reputed journal. The major corrections are mentioned below.  1. The author has not mentioned the research objectives or questions.  2. It seems like the researcher has confusion between the research objectives and research problems. He she embedded some areas that should be addressed in research problems. He she embedded some areas that should be addressed in research questions than a research problem.  3. The statement of the problem is too narrow. It fails to emphasize the gravity of the research.  4. No literature review.  5. In the result and discussion section, the author has used the hypothesis. However, health has failed to mention it in the methodology.  e.g.: "Since the computed [=10.01 is greater than 1.83 the null hypothesis can be rejected."  6. The researcher has used both inferential statistics and Anova. But in the study design, heighe has only mentioned the descriptive statistics.  7. The flow of the result and discussion need to be adjusted with subheadings to grab the reader's attention.  8. No references were given to the previous research in the findings.  9. The author should avoid the excessive seel words.  e.gin the conclusion section, heighe has repetitively used the word "This implies/implies";  10. Several English language errors are observed across the paragraphs and should be corrected before publication.  Minor REVISION comments  Optional/General comments  The work here proposed is an interesting topic. The title is suitable and attractive and the	<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
mentioned below.  1. The author has not mentioned the researcher has confusion between the research objectives and research problems. He /she embedded some areas that should be addressed in research questions than a research problem.  3. The statement of the problem is too narrow. It fails to emphasize the gravity of the research.  4. No literature review.  5. In the result and discussion section, the author has used the hypothesis. However, he/elshe has failed to mention it in the methodology.  9.9° Since the computed (=10.01 is greater than 1.83 the null hypothesis can be rejected.*).  6. The researcher has used both inferential statistics and Anova. But in the study design, he/she has only mentioned the descriptive statistics.  7. The flow of the result and discussion need to be adjusted with subheadings to grab the reader's attention.  8. No references were given to the previous research in the findings.  9. The author should avoid the excessive use of words.  9. The number should avoid the excessive use of words.  9. The number should avoid the excessive use of words.  10. Several English language errors are observed across the paragraphs and should be corrected before publication.  Minor REVISION comments  The work here proposed is an interesting topic. The title is suitable and attractive and the		I have gone through the manuscript and noted some critical areas where modifications	
1. The author has not mentioned the research objectives or questions. 2. It is seems like the researcher has confusion between the research objectives and research problems. 3. The statement of the problem is too narrow. It fails to emphasize the gravity of the research. 4. No literature review. 5. In the result and discussion section, the author has used the hypothesis. However, herishe has lailed to mention it in the methodology. e.g.* "Since the computed f≈10.01 is greater than 1.83 the null hypothesis can be rejected." 6. The researcher has used both inforential statistics and Anova. But in the study design, he/she has only mentioned the descriptive statistics. 7. The flow of the result and discussion need to be adjusted with subheadings to grab the reader's attention. 8. No references were given to the previous research in the findings. 9. The author should valorit the excessive use of words. e.g.* in the conclusion section, he/she has repetitively used the word "This implies" in general continuation.  Milnor, REVISION comments  Optional/General comments  The work here proposed is an interesting topic. The title is suitable and attractive and the		should be done before being published in your reputed journal. The major corrections are	
2. It seems like the researcher has confusion between the research objectives and research problems. He /she embedded some areas that should be addressed in research problem.  3. The statement of the problem is too narrow. It fails to emphasize the gravity of the research.  4. No literature review.  5. In the result and discussion section, the author has used the hypothesis. However, healshe has failed to mention it in the methodology.  a.g.* 'Since the computed (=10.01 is greater than 1.83 the null hypothesis can be rejected'.  6. The researcher has used both inferential statistics and Anova. But in the study design, he/she has only mentioned the descriptive statistics.  7. The flow of the result and discussion need to be adjusted with subheadings to grab the reader's attention.  8. No references were given to the previous research in the findings.  9. The author should avoid the excessive use of words.  a.gi-in the conclusion section, he/she has repetitively used the word 'This implies/implies'.  10. Several English language errors are observed across the paragraphs and should be corrected before publication.  Milnor REVISION comments  The work here proposed is an interesting topic. The title is suitable and attractive and the		mentioned below.	
research problems. He /she embedded some areas that should be addressed in research quositions than a research problem.  3. The statement of the problem is too narrow. It fails to emphasize the gravity of the research.  4. No literature review.  5. In the result and discussion section, the author has used the hypothesis. However, he/she has failed to mention it in the methodology.  a.g.: "Since the computed f=10.01 is greater than 1.83 the null hypothesis can be rejected."  6. The researcher has used both inferential statistics and Anova. But in the study design, he/she has only mentioned the descriptive statistics.  7. The flow of the result and discussion need to be adjusted with subheadings to grab the reader's attention.  8. No references were given to the previous research in the findings.  9. The author should avoid the excessive use of words.  a.gin the conclusion section, he/she has repetitively used the word. "This implies/implies".  10. Several English language errors are observed across the paragraphs and should be corrected before publication.  Minor REVISION comments  The work here proposed is an interesting topic. The title is suitable and attractive and the		The author has not mentioned the research objectives or questions.	
research questions than a research problem.  3. The statement of the problem is too narrow. It fails to emphasize the gravity of the research.  4. No literature review.  5. In the result and discussion section, the author has used the hypothesis. However, he/she has failed to mention it in the methodology.  9.9: "Since the computed f=10.01 is greater than 1.83 the null hypothesis can be rejected."  6. The researcher has used both inferential statistics and Anova. But in the study design, he/she has only mentioned the descriptive statistics.  7. The flow of the result and discussion need to be adjusted with subheadings to grab the reader's attention.  8. No references were given to the previous research in the findings.  9. The author should avoid the excessive use of words.  9.3: The author should avoid the excessive use of words.  9.4: The conclusion section, he/she has repetitively used the word "This implies/implies".  10. Several English language errors are observed across the paragraphs and should be corrected before publication.  Minor REVISION comments  The work here proposed is an interesting topic. The title is suitable and attractive and the		2. It seems like the researcher has confusion between the research objectives and	
3. The statement of the problem is too narrow. It fails to emphasize the gravity of the research. 4. No literature review. 5. In the result and discussion section, the author has used the hypothesis. However, he/she has failed to mention it in the methodology.  e.g.: "Since the computed f=10.01 is greater than 1.83 the null hypothesis can be rejected."  6. The researcher has used both inferential statistics and Anova. But in the study design, he/she has only mentioned the descriptive statistics.  7. The flow of the result and discussion need to be adjusted with subheadings to grab the reader's attention.  8. No references were given to the previous research in the findings.  9. The author should avoid the excessive use of words.  e.g-in the conclusion section, he/she has repetitively used the word "This implies/implies".  10. Several English language errors are observed across the paragraphs and should be corrected before publication.  Minor REVISION comments  The work here proposed is an interesting topic. The title is suitable and attractive and the		research problems. He /she embedded some areas that should be addressed in	
research.  4. No literature review.  5. In the result and discussion section, the author has used the hypothesis. However, he/she has failed to mention it in the methodology.  e.g.: "Since the computed f=10.01 is greater than 1.83 the null hypothesis can be rejected."  6. The researcher has used both inferential statistics and Anova. But in the study design, he/she has only mentioned the descriptive statistics.  7. The flow of the result and discussion need to be adjusted with subheadings to grab the reader's attention.  8. No references were given to the previous research in the findings.  9. The author should avoid the excessive use of words.  e.g.:in the conclusion section, he/she has repetitively used the word "This implies/implies".  10. Several English language errors are observed across the paragraphs and should be corrected before publication.  Minor REVISION comments  The work here proposed is an interesting topic. The title is suitable and attractive and the		research questions than a research problem.	
4. No literature review.  5. In the result and discussion section, the author has used the hypothesis. However, he/she has failed to mention it in the methodology. e.g.: "Since the computed f=10.01 is greater than 1.83 the null hypothesis can be rejected".  6. The researcher has used both inferential statistics and Anova. But in the study design, he/she has only mentioned the descriptive statistics.  7. The flow of the result and discussion need to be adjusted with subheadings to grab the reader's attention.  8. No references were given to the previous research in the findings.  9. The author should avoid the excessive use of words. e.gin the conclusion section, he/she has repetitively used the word "This implies/implies".  10. Several English language errors are observed across the paragraphs and should be corrected before publication.  Minor REVISION comments  The work here proposed is an interesting topic. The title is suitable and attractive and the		3. The statement of the problem is too narrow. It fails to emphasize the gravity of the	
5. In the result and discussion section, the author has used the hypothesis. However, he/she has failed to mention it in the methodology.  e.g.: "Since the computed f=10.01 is greater than 1.83 the null hypothesis can be rejected".  6. The researcher has used both inferential statistics and Anova. But in the study design, he/she has only mentioned the descriptive statistics.  7. The flow of the result and discussion need to be adjusted with subheadings to grab the reader's attention.  8. No references were given to the previous research in the findings.  9. The author should avoid the excessive use of words.  e.gin the conclusion section, he/she has repetitively used the word "This implies/implies".  10. Several English language errors are observed across the paragraphs and should be corrected before publication.  Minor REVISION comments  The work here proposed is an interesting topic. The title is suitable and attractive and the		research.	
he/she has failed to mention it in the methodology. e.g.: "Since the computed f=10.01 is greater than 1.83 the null hypothesis can be rejected." 6. The researcher has used both inferential statistics and Anova. But in the study design, he/she has only mentioned the descriptive statistics. 7. The flow of the result and discussion need to be adjusted with subheadings to grab the reader's attention. 8. No references were given to the previous research in the findings. 9. The author should avoid the excessive use of words. e.gin the conclusion section, he/she has repetitively used the word "This implies/implies". 10. Several English language errors are observed across the paragraphs and should be corrected before publication.  Minor REVISION comments  The work here proposed is an interesting topic. The title is suitable and attractive and the		4. No literature review.	
e.g "Since the computed f=10.01 is greater than 1.83 the null hypothesis can be rejected".  6. The researcher has used both inferential statistics and Anova. But in the study design, he/she has only mentioned the descriptive statistics.  7. The flow of the result and discussion need to be adjusted with subheadings to grab the reader's attention.  8. No references were given to the previous research in the findings.  9. The author should avoid the excessive use of words. e.gin the conclusion section, he/she has repetitively used the word "This implies/implies".  10. Several English language errors are observed across the paragraphs and should be corrected before publication.  Minor REVISION comments  The work here proposed is an interesting topic. The title is suitable and attractive and the		5. In the result and discussion section, the author has used the hypothesis. However,	
rejected". 6. The researcher has used both inferential statistics and Anova. But in the study design, he/she has only mentioned the descriptive statistics. 7. The flow of the result and discussion need to be adjusted with subheadings to grab the reader's attention. 8. No references were given to the previous research in the findings. 9. The author should avoid the excessive use of words. e.gin the conclusion section, he/she has repetitively used the word "This implies/implies". 10. Several English language errors are observed across the paragraphs and should be corrected before publication.  Minor REVISION comments  The work here proposed is an interesting topic. The title is suitable and attractive and the		he/she has failed to mention it in the methodology.	
6. The researcher has used both inferential statistics and Anova. But in the study design, he/she has only mentioned the descriptive statistics.  7. The flow of the result and discussion need to be adjusted with subheadings to grab the reader's attention.  8. No references were given to the previous research in the findings.  9. The author should avoid the excessive use of words. e.gin the conclusion section, he/she has repetitively used the word "This implies/implies".  10. Several English language errors are observed across the paragraphs and should be corrected before publication.  Minor REVISION comments  Optional/General comments  The work here proposed is an interesting topic. The title is suitable and attractive and the		e.g:- "Since the computed f=10.01 is greater than 1.83 the null hypothesis can be	
design, he/she has only mentioned the descriptive statistics.  7. The flow of the result and discussion need to be adjusted with subheadings to grab the reader's attention.  8. No references were given to the previous research in the findings.  9. The author should avoid the excessive use of words.  e.gin the conclusion section, he/she has repetitively used the word "This implies/implies".  10. Several English language errors are observed across the paragraphs and should be corrected before publication.  Minor REVISION comments  Optional/General comments  The work here proposed is an interesting topic. The title is suitable and attractive and the		rejected".	
7. The flow of the result and discussion need to be adjusted with subheadings to grab the reader's attention.  8. No references were given to the previous research in the findings.  9. The author should avoid the excessive use of words.  e.gin the conclusion section, he/she has repetitively used the word "This implies/implies".  10. Several English language errors are observed across the paragraphs and should be corrected before publication.  Minor REVISION comments  Optional/General comments  The work here proposed is an interesting topic. The title is suitable and attractive and the		6. The researcher has used both inferential statistics and Anova. But in the study	
the reader's attention.  8. No references were given to the previous research in the findings.  9. The author should avoid the excessive use of words.  e.g:-in the conclusion section, he/she has repetitively used the word "This implies/implies".  10. Several English language errors are observed across the paragraphs and should be corrected before publication.  Minor REVISION comments  Optional/General comments  The work here proposed is an interesting topic. The title is suitable and attractive and the		design, he/she has only mentioned the descriptive statistics.	
8. No references were given to the previous research in the findings.  9. The author should avoid the excessive use of words.  e.g:-in the conclusion section, he/she has repetitively used the word "This implies/implies".  10. Several English language errors are observed across the paragraphs and should be corrected before publication.  Minor REVISION comments  Optional/General comments  The work here proposed is an interesting topic. The title is suitable and attractive and the		7. The flow of the result and discussion need to be adjusted with subheadings to grab	
9. The author should avoid the excessive use of words. e.g:-in the conclusion section, he/she has repetitively used the word "This implies/implies".  10. Several English language errors are observed across the paragraphs and should be corrected before publication.  Minor REVISION comments  Optional/General comments  The work here proposed is an interesting topic. The title is suitable and attractive and the		the reader's attention.	
e.gin the conclusion section, he/she has repetitively used the word "This implies/implies".  10. Several English language errors are observed across the paragraphs and should be corrected before publication.  Minor REVISION comments  Optional/General comments  The work here proposed is an interesting topic. The title is suitable and attractive and the		8. No references were given to the previous research in the findings.	
implies/implies".  10. Several English language errors are observed across the paragraphs and should be corrected before publication.  Minor REVISION comments  Optional/General comments  The work here proposed is an interesting topic. The title is suitable and attractive and the		9. The author should avoid the excessive use of words.	
10. Several English language errors are observed across the paragraphs and should be corrected before publication.  Minor REVISION comments  Optional/General comments  The work here proposed is an interesting topic. The title is suitable and attractive and the		e.g:-in the conclusion section, he/she has repetitively used the word "This	
Minor REVISION comments  Optional/General comments  The work here proposed is an interesting topic. The title is suitable and attractive and the		implies/implies".	
Minor REVISION comments  Optional/General comments  The work here proposed is an interesting topic. The title is suitable and attractive and the		10. Several English language errors are observed across the paragraphs and should	
Optional/General comments  The work here proposed is an interesting topic. The title is suitable and attractive and the		be corrected before publication.	
Optional/General comments  The work here proposed is an interesting topic. The title is suitable and attractive and the			
The work here proposed is an interesting topic. The title is suitable and attractive and the	Minor REVISION comments		
The work here proposed is an interesting topic. The title is suitable and attractive and the			
The work here proposed is an interesting topic. The title is suitable and attractive and the			
The work here proposed is an interesting topic. The title is suitable and attractive and the	Optional/General comments		
	<u> </u>	The work here proposed is an interesting topic. The title is suitable and attractive and the	
ADDITION TO CHINGING			
		about to dufficient.	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

## **Review Form 1.6**

# PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	P.P.G. Thyagi Pushpika	
Department, University & Country	Advanced Technological Institute-Tangalle, Sri Lanka	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)