

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_79275
Title of the Manuscript:	Awareness and utilization of library services among secondary school students in the Yenagoa LGA of Bayelsa State
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The author(s) must as a matter of urgency revisit the reference to this work. More than 70% of references are not cited in text. The very first research work referenced was not found in the body of the work, so it is imported. Also Aina, R. F. (2017) was also not mentioned inside the work and host of others.	
Minor REVISION comments	'The' should be removed from the title of the work. Editorial work should be done in the introductory part of this manuscript. The discussion is not flowing; I have highlighted some of them. The highlighted ones needs to be looked into again by the author. The implications should be stated following the subheadings in the findings. In the finding section of the work, specifically on the tables 2 down, the SA, A, D, SD & Total should be removed from the table, it makes the table clumsy. The table should just contain the items, mean and decision or remark.	
Optional/General comments	The research work is relevant as it will contribute to existing literature. It is publishable.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amaka Raymonda Obiamalu
Department, University & Country	Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nigeria