

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_78920
Title of the Manuscript:	Students' Satisfaction and Continuance Intention Using Online Learning in Jordan: An Integrated Model of UTAUT2 and ISS.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Congratulation to the author/s with such an interesting paper! It is well-prepared, well-organized, and well-written.</p> <p>The logics and theoretical starting points are clearly explained, and then related to stated hypotheses.</p> <p>The pilot on a sample of 32 students and characterization of analytical tool/questionnaire have to be also appreciated.</p> <p>In addition, the used statistical apparatus is cleverly applied and commented.</p> <p>In the effort to slightly help author/s in the process of shifting the paper onto a higher quality level, two following recommendations could be formulated:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. To incorporate some of relevant studies in the field into the section of Discussion (in this section, obtained results and formulated deductions should be disputed and compared with other similar studies and results).</li><li>2. To suggest crucial measurements or inspirations to policy-maker, heads of schools, teachers, parents, etc., to increase and sustain learning motivation of students.</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>The paper is without any hard mistakes.</p> <p>Only, the Figures have different graphical quality. It is suggested to harmonize it.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>After fulfilling mentioned above inspirations, the paper can be recommended for publish in the Journal.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<div>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</div> <div>All is well-done and clear (methodology, procedures, deductions, etc.).</div>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Martina Blašková
Department, University & Country	Police Academy Of The Czech Republic, Czech Republic