Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJESS_77902**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Analysis of Students' Mathematical Reasoning Capabilities with the Constructivism Approach Through the Mobile Learning System**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Methodology** ✓ The Procedure followed in selecting the sample was not Discussed ✓ The researcher failed to discussed Procedure of data collections in ✓ The tool for the data analysis was not mentioned ✓ The researcher failed to explain how the questions in Table 1 was generated **Result** ✓ The researcher is expected to make comparison with the closely related articles, so as to validate the outcome of the research (result). ✓ What was the research contribution? **Conclusions** ✓ The researcher failed to state the limitation of the research ✓ Recommendations for future work was not stated in the paper **Reference** ✓ Some of the references were not Found in the Goggle-Scholar database ✓ Some of used References were not Published ✓ The References used were not up to date(2000-2017)	
Minor REVISION comments	**Result** ✓ The First Paragraph of the result & Discussions section need to be Part of the Methodology section of the paper. ✓ The average Score Should 2.96 instead 2,96(Comma Should be replaced with Points) **References** ✓ Some of the references were not properly References in the research Paper ✓ The referencing Format does not correspond with API Standard ✓	
Optional/General comments	The researcher does not provide section that discussed the works based on the existing research that correspond to the research topic	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Yahaya Garba Shawai***
Department, University & Country	***National Open University of Nigeria, Nigeria***