Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_88399
Title of the Manuscript:	BIOACCUMULATION OF HEAVY METALS IN MACROINVERTEBRATES FROM OJO RIVER, LAGOS, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The work is very interesting, since it addresses the presence of heavy metals in living organisms for human consumption. The work is important because it can help, in certain cases, to prevent its consumption when this type of organism could be contaminated with this type of metal. I note that the definitions of the metal symbol appear (lead (Pb), Copper (Cu), etc) throughout the text and in the tables. I suggest defining them and later using the symbol: Cu, Zn, Fe, etc., or only the name: cooper, lead, etc According to the concentration values obtained, the Cd values are above the permitted values, however, in the conclusion authors mention that the consumption of this type of food does not represent a risk, but the Cd values are above the limits, how do you explain this? It would be important to clarify the way in which the accumulation of these metals is carried out. The authors mention, in the introduction, the physiological differences, but what could this difference be, some type of ionic metal transporter could be affected?, or what could be the mechanism of this process that makes these species different.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Miguel Velazquez-Manzanares
Department, University & Country	Universidad Autonoma de Coahuila, Mexico

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)