

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Environment &amp; Ecology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_85700
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIBIOTIC RESIDUES IN WASTE IMPACTED SURFACE WATERS FROM LAGOS, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy> )

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: The abstract is not structured. Structure it as follows: (Introduction, Objectives, Material and Methods, and Conclusion).</p> <p>Introduction: This part needs a citation. "An increasing number of pharmaceutical products are being used on a daily basis as the pharmaceutical industry continues to introduce new and enhanced products for the prophylaxis and active treatment of traditional infectious/transmissible, and increasingly important non communicable, diseases."</p> <p>In general, the introduction can be improved. I suggest structuring it in paragraphs, and not in running text. Regarding references, take attention to cite the information given.</p> <p>Methodology: The methodology can be improved to make it be clear as to the methodology of water analysis.</p> <p>Results and Discussion: Cite the study in the following statement "Occurrence patterns were similar to earlier reports which indicate the sulfa antibiotics as very widespread in occurrence worldwide. Trimethoprim was detected in two samples (Amuwo Odofin and Isolo) at levels ranging from 0.12-0.40 µg/L." Which protocol to define the high, medium, and low scores in Table 3?</p> <p>Conclusion The conclusion is long. A lot of information in the conclusion can be addressed in the discussion. Rewrite objectively and simply to answer the research question.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Textual revisions in relation to English writing should be performed.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Not comments.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Agenor Gomes dos Santos-Neto
Department, University & Country	Institute of Technology and Research – ITP, Tiradentes University, Brazil