

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_81359
Title of the Manuscript:	Trend Analysis of vehicular Traffic Contribution to Air Pollution in Urban City
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In the abstract it should be reported where the measurements have been done and also in title.</p> <p>In the introductory part several it should be mentioned that the lack or the limitations of studies observed by authors is referring only to Nigeria because traffic is well studied in a lot of other Countries.</p> <p>“...using purposive method,...” better “...using a method based...” purposive is not clear.</p> <p>It would also be better change “opined” with “reported” or similar.</p> <p>Please use the standard units of $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for particle concentration in all text, tables, and figures.</p> <p>Table 1. ms^{-1} rather than in capital letters.</p> <p>SO_2 is generally not related to traffic emissions in several countries being more likely of industrial origin or due to shipping emissions. It should be explained why in Nigeria you have such stronger influence of traffic, it is because of the use of low quality fuels in comparison to Europe or USA?</p> <p>In several parts it is compared one year to another or one season to another and differences are commented. It should be explained if the differences found have been subjected to a statistical test to verify if they are statistically significant or not and explain which test was used.</p> <p>Figures have boxes with bars and some points outside in many cases. Please explain what the boxes, the bars and the horizontal lines mean and what is the meaning to have the points outside the boxes only in some cases.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>“WHO stipulated...” better “WHO set a threshold at....”</p> <p>There is a NO2 instead of NO_2</p>	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Daniele Contini
Department, University & Country	Institute of Atmospheric Sciences and Climate, Italy