

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_89093
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors Influencing the performance of small and medium enterprises in Tanzania: A case of Zanzibar
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebea.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The abstract is too long, it should be 200 words</p> <p>The literature review should be further updated, especially by recent studies that are supportive for the study</p> <p>The data collection, where is it?</p> <p>The quality of Table 9, 10 is poor, it should be enhanced</p> <p>The results should be further discussed and compared with previous studies.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The abstract is too long, it should be 200 words</p> <p>The literature review should be further updated, especially by recent studies that are supportive for the study</p> <p>The data collection, where is it?</p> <p>The quality of Table 9, 10 is poor, it should be enhanced</p> <p>The results should be further discussed and compared with previous studies.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The abstract is too long, it should be 200 words</p> <p>The literature review should be further updated, especially by recent studies that are supportive for the study</p> <p>The data collection, where is it?</p> <p>The quality of Table 9, 10 is poor, it should be enhanced</p> <p>The results should be further discussed and compared with previous studies.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chien Nguyen
Department, University & Country	Thu Dau Mot University, Vietnam