Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_88190
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPLEMENTATION OF AN E-PROCUREMENT POLICY IN VOLTA RIVER AUTHORITY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalajeba.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	 There is a single overarching statement capturing the statement of the problem. What is the problem? The objective 1 and 2 should be merge into one objective. The literature review section should cover: conceptual review, theoretical review, empirical review and conceptual framework. With that said not a single review was dedicated to e-procurement implementation or policy, why? The methodology lacks basic inputs like sample size, tools used to analyse the questionnaires, etc. How was the primary data obtained? I can see is through questionnaires. The first step is to find the reliability and validity of the questionnaires which is missing in the work. So far as the reliability and validity of the questionnaire is missing, its assumed that the results lack the credibility. Besides, the results presented do not match the set objectives. In sum the whole manuscript is not well structured, and does not answer the objectives set. 	
Minor REVISION comments	 The topic should be changed to "Investigating the Training and Challenges Faced with Implementing E-Procurement Policy: A Lesson From VRA." The keywords should be modified to "e-electronics, 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Akyene Tetteh
Department, University & Country	University of Mines and Technology, Ghana

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)