# **Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_88070
Title of the Manuscript:	GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE OF MICROFINANCE INSTITUTIONS: A COMPARISON OF MODELS OF SUSTAINABILITY AND ITS IMPLICATION ON OUTREACH
Type of the Article	

## **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalajeba.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy)

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol> <li>On page 11, the author(s) has mentioned "This study has applied both qualitative and quantitative analysis to justify" What qualitative analysis is done in the paper? Does it mean the use of questionnaire? Questionnaire is not the part of qualitative analysis. Moreover, the analysis based on primary data (Likert scale and frequency level etc. see page 8) has not been presented</li> <li>Has the author tested the reliability of the questionnaire?</li> </ol>	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol> <li>Please mention the study period for secondary data.</li> <li>The research gap needs to be mentioned at the end of the review of literature.</li> <li>What are the unanswered questions addressed in the paper?</li> <li>What is the contribution of this research to the existing literature?</li> <li>Stylized facts about the analysis need to be mentioned.</li> <li>Whom and how this research is useful?</li> </ol>	
Optional/General comments		

### PART 2:

		<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

## **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Muhammadriyaj Faniband
Department, University & Country	Christ Academy Institute for Advanced Studies, India

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)