

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_85797
Title of the Manuscript:	Managerial Performance in Indonesia: A Bibliography Study
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>It is strongly recommended to present much more opinions on managerial performance from the authors around the world (not only from Indonesia) in the section of Introduction.</p> <p>It is needed to clearly define a scientific gap, i.e., main theoretical reasons or challenges of the paper.</p> <p>The titles of the papers in Table 2 have to be translated into English.</p> <p>Because currently is 2022 year, the analysis performed in the paper should be extended from 2002 – 2019 to 2002 – 2021 (although the only one paper was published in 2019, situation in 2020 and 2021 could be different).</p> <p>Results obtained in the survey should be discussed and compared with the ones in the world.</p> <p>Unfortunately, the scientific contribution of the paper is questionable. Thereto, it is recommended to the author/s to extend the depth of analysis and spectrum of (statistical) methods used in the paper.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Small grammar or syntax imperfections, e.g., "investigation or investigation" (p. 1); double spaces between words, etc.	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Martina Blašková
Department, University & Country	Police Academy, Czech Republic