

## Review Form 1.6

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a> |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJEBA_85797  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Managerial Performance in Indonesia: A Bibliography Study           |
| Type of the Article      | Review Article  |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

|                                     | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <p>1. The title and content of the article do not seem to correspond completely. The content is entirely research literature, so the title of the article seems to be changed to "academic research status of management performance in Indonesia: Based on 20002-2019 literature". If the research content is the management performance of Indonesia, we can summarize different research directions, different theoretical models and different variable settings based on the literature. Obviously, the former is to study the literature, and the latter is to study management performance.</p> <p>2. What are the problems with the research methods, but the number of research objects is too small to lose the research significance. It is suggested that a wider range of papers should be included in the research scope, and it is possible to show regularity based on at least hundreds.</p> <p>3. Such literature analysis is of little significance in fact. It does not reflect the research preface and field of performance management in Indonesia, nor the latest research theory. It is suggested to increase the analysis and research in this regard, so as to highlight the practical role of literature analysis.</p> |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      | <p>1. Although literature review papers may cite more articles from a long time ago, more references in recent three years should be added to the references.</p> <p>2. If the author can use SPSS software, he can carry out more advanced statistical analysis, and the effect may be better.</p>  |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    |  |   |

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

|  | Reviewer’s comment  | Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |   |

Reviewer Details:

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | Guohua Zhu  |
| Department, University & Country | Shanghai University of Finance and Economics- Zhejiang College, China |