

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_85200
Title of the Manuscript:	Prediction of Thermal Coal Prices in Qinhuangdao Port Based on ARIMA-AHP Model
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<div>1. It would be much clearer for the audience to state the <i>research question</i> in one sentence in the “Introduction” section.</div> <div>2. In the section 2.1, is there any literature to support that these 9 elements are main factors to affect the coal price? If there is any prior study to provide evidence, please take it as reference and mention it in the manuscript.</div> <div>3. In the section 2.2 and the section 3, the dependent variable is the coal price. However, the section 2.2 denotes “Y” as the coal price, and the section 3 defines “P” as the coal price. Please use the same symbol throughout the manuscript. It will help the audience to understand.</div> <div>4. Please check the format and spelling in the manuscript, because there are seriously skipping problems with words.</div> <div>5. In order to prevent from grammar error, it would be better to have the manuscript edited by a native-English speaker.</div>	
Optional/General comments	<div>This manuscript has a good story, and it has made additional contribution to the research arena.</div>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chia-Hui Chen
Department, University & Country	Providence University, Taiwan