Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJEBA_85075**
Title of the Manuscript:	**The Impact of Global Financial Crisis on Palestinian Economy**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljemt.com/index.php/JEMT/editorial-policy)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**I am glad to review and assess this exciting article entitled, "The Impact of Global Financial Crisis on Palestinian Economy". This investigation is based on the impact of the global financial crisis on the Palestinian economy from 2005 to 2021. The organization of this article is good but unsatisfactory. I suggest that authors need to do a little more careful work to improve the quality of the manuscript.** **Some valuable comments are given below;** **1) Abstract and main text are too long, which consist of more than 13,000 words. Hence, prepare the manuscript as per the journal's guidelines.** **2) Sadly, the paper does not follow any specific format of writing. Some paragraphs are written in 'Kalinga" format and some others are in "Times New Roman". Similarly, the reference list lacks consistent format writing. Academic writing needs a formal and consistent format of writing and thus, need serious attention to the consistent writing style required for this journal.** **3) The manuscript needs language, grammar, and syntactic editing.** **4) Provide 5 to 6 keywords instead of 11.** **5) Background and introduction sections can be merged into one section, namely, "Introduction"** **6) Authors unnecessarily have kept year (in text) in the bracket, which is meaningless. Note that I am not talking about citation year.** **7) No mention of how this study is organized, which may bring difficulty for the reader of the article. Therefore, in the last paragraph of the first section (Introduction section) provide a brief description of how the rest of the study is organized.** **8) The primary defeat of this study is the argument is not clearly stated in the introduction section.** **9) Research gap is not evident and not appropriately stated.** **10) The list of references is written carelessly. Some references are written in APA format and some others are not. Therefore, authors need to provide a consistent format of all references.** **11) Finally, the author needs to revise and improve the quality of the manuscript to publish in the journal.**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Sanjay Kumar Rout**
Department, University & Country	**Centre for Development Studies, India**