

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_85039
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on the Coupling Relationship between Marine Resources and Environmental Carrying Capacity and Marine Economy in Jiangsu Province
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Your article is very interesting and, in essence, very well done. I appreciate the extensive analysis supported by a wide description, theoretical and practical background. I appreciate the description of the results, suggestions for improving the situation, you also state very well the limits of research. The big, and basically the only, problem is the citation policy of the article. I did not see any citation record in the text - all sources listed in the References must be cited in the text at the same time and vice versa. In many places in the text (in the introduction, but also in the discussion of the results, in the part of the problems of the marine environmental system) you refer to information that is obviously taken over, but you do not cite sources.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	I have a few small comments: <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. I appreciate the great research background and significance. It would just be good to support this information with some quotes, sources. Because a lot of information is certainly taken from other sources. This would increase the total number of resources in the contribution, which is desirable.2. Page 14 shows the title of Table 4, but it is already listed on the previous page. Delete text.3. Table 5 contains the same information as Figures 4 and 5. I recommend leaving only the figures, it is not good to duplicate the information.4. You often start writing a sentence below the figures and have a lowercase letter at the beginning.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jakub Horak
Department, University & Country	School of Expertness and Valuation, Institute of Technology and Business in Ceske Budejovice, Czech Republic