

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_85025
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants of Maize Yields among Small-Scale Farmers at Household Levels in Mbinga District, Tanzania
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebea.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>1. I recommend the author that no need of writing the contents of the study at the end of introduction part.</p> <p>2. A critical view in this study is the survey data is about 2017 but the data analysis is about 2022. Hence, author need to check the source of table 1 and 2 and place it clearly.</p> <p>3. I am not clear that why author has used 'household level' in the title. The description and components of household level is not given clearly. If it is so, i recommend the author to modify the title of the study.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>1. Abstract and keywords are clearly focused the expected outline of the topic.</p> <p>2. Author has gradually developed the introduction part of the study with the importance of agriculture, Statistics of Tanzania and further developed with the problems and objectives of the study.</p> <p>3. Given materials and methods is clearly stated with the agricultural background of the Tanzania and further it is constructed with sufficient information. Although, such a statistical tools given in the part is not placed in abstract of the study. But, it is not a major concern.</p> <p>4. The part of the results and discussion is mentioned the statistical design and discussion as well.</p> <p>5. Conclusion and recommendations of the study clearly reported the methodology and recommendations.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	T. Amose
Department, University & Country	Madurai Kamaraj University, India