

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_84607
Title of the Manuscript:	Financial Accounting Information System Usage for Management Decision Making in Nigerian Banking Institution
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>- In the title, it is suggested that the study addressed a banking institution in Nigeria, however, the sample is composed of employees of a small business in Benin.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>-In this citation it is necessary to indicate only the author's last name: Management accountants make company decisions by analyzing both financial and non-financial data (Melissa Bushman 2017). The same for: study conducted by Elena Urquia Grande, Raquel Perez Estebanez, and Clara Munoz Colomina (2010),...</p> <p>- It is necessary to correct the spelling of the word organization in the sentence: The research seeks to know the extent to which the management of the organisation under review has used the accounting information.</p> <p>- The same for the sentence: Accounting systems are beneficial when the data they collect is successfully utilised by users...; and: to examine Financial Accounting Information Sytsem Usage...</p> <p>- In chapter 3, it is necessary to show the twenty questions of the questionnaire and to insert an item exposing the form of data analysis, and the statistical software used before presenting the results.</p> <p>- It is necessary to correct the separation of words in: H1: Accounting information systems and decision-making effectiveness hasa significant relationship..</p> <p>- It is necessary to improve the presentation of the tables. It is suggested to edit them and not copy directly from the statistical software results.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>- the manuscript presents a robust theoretical framework, but the research methodology needs to be improved.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aginaldo Keiti Higuchi
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal dos Vales do Jequitinhonha e Mucuri, Brasil