

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_84496
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhancement of Small-Scale Businesses in Post-COVID era through Cooperatives in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

The general guideline for Peer Review process:

This Journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebea.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This manuscript has a name, "Literature review," the document reviewed scholars' contributions in the topic field and made conclusions of other scholars. There is no new revelation in the document rather a summarization of the findings of other scholars.</p> <p>I suggest two things:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The author should consider inserting literature review as the methodology of collecting data and justify that data collected is secondary data from sources data was collected.2. The author makes the bold step to collect primary data (quantitative or qualitative) and find out how owners of small businesses enhanced their companies post COVID-19. <p>Otherwise, the manuscript is not worth publication in an academic journal. There is no newness in the paper's findings, which is necessary for research; otherwise, the paper should be considered a literature review.</p>	<p>The author should consider revising the paper's methodology to either Literature Review or collect primary data and ensure newness.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Babandi Ibrahim Gumel
Department, University & Country	LIGS University, Nigeria