

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_84207
Title of the Manuscript:	MICROFINANCE FINANCIAL STRATEGIES AND BUSINESS GROWTH OF WOMEN ENTREPRENEURS IN GBOKO, BENUE STATE NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebea.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Scientific research endeavour starts with Identification of either an existing gap in the literature or observed problem in order to fill that gap. thus, this study lacks to clearly to point out what exactly is the gap that the study identified and plans to fill at the end? What makes the study unique from other similar researches conducted?Based on the empirical literature review made the study lacks to indicate the research framework .Hat sample size determination equation used to identify the Sample size respondents' number? What statistical technique was used to distribute survey instrument among the sample size respondent's?The independent variable is categorical data and normal distribution was assumed accordingly OLS method was used to run the linear multiple regression which doesn't go along with the nature of the data collected. thus, the study should have applied ordered logistics regression since the independent data is categorical in nature and the data is non-normally distributed. Accordingly, the findings and conclusion reached are polarized greatly puts the derived findings and conclusion in question mark.	
Minor REVISION comments	Research approach and research design adopted must be described briefly along with justification why the adoption is made.	
Optional/General comments	Citation and referencing must be based on APA, Harvard or other referencing guide lines and must be constantly adhered through out the study. With this regard inconsistent use of citation is observed in the study.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eyob KEtema
Department, University & Country	Lunar International College, Ehtiopia