Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJEBA_81653**
Title of the Manuscript:	
	An Empirical investigation of Remittance shocks on Economic Growth in Nigeria: During Covid-19 Pandemic
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalajeba.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy)

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	**Manuscript ID: Ms_AJEBA_81653** **Topic:** An Empirical investigation of Remittance shocks on Economic Growth in Nigeria: During Covid-19 Pandemic **Comments to the author** The study investigated the shocks effect of remittances on the economic growth in Nigeria in the midst of Covid-19. The analysis is based on annual data, the period which study intends to cover is 40 years i.e. (1980-2020). Quantitative technique of data analysis is employed due to the nature of the study. The analysis is based on time series econometric modeling that establishes link between the variables of interest and controls for other variables affecting the relationship. Abstract The abstract is clear. Introduction: Introduction is clear and well-structured but should be condensed. It presents the background of remittance in the world and in Nigeria. Here are some minor suggestions. Line 40-41 the author needs to cite the source The author needs to summaries some of the previous papers on remittance and state the difference between his and other papers. The author stated some in line 78-81 but not enough. The author needs to state the gap clearly. Literature review Line 92-101 only one citation. The author needs to add more literatures to improve originality of the paper under "the self-interest theory"	

Methodology and Data Analysis

The methodology is well written and clear. The author needs to create table to list all the variables and their source. Kindly state the full meaning of the abbreviations

Results

The results are thoroughly written and clear. The tables and figures were clear and relevant. The decimal places are not appropriately displayed or presented. It differs

Discussions and Conclusions

The discussions part of the study was not addressed. The author needs to discuss the results and support with previous studies. The conclusion is clear

I suggest the author should add more recommendations to the study because the study is very interesting and limitation of the study

The authors should also support their findings with previous studies. The author can consider this paper "10.4236/jhrss.2020.83018"

The knowledge presented in this manuscript is relevant based on the current challenges experienced globally. The manuscript needs to be revised.

Optional/General comments		

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Emmanuel Nketiah**
Department, University & Country	**Nanjing university of science and technology, China**