

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_77392
Title of the Manuscript:	STRATEGIC LEADERSHIP PRACTICES ON ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE: A CASE STUDY OF KENYA PORTS AUTHORITY
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The author should re-join the many introductions into one intro, to represent all previous studies and theories. Then methodology, with all research design, questions, sample, scales, and hypothesis. After, results, then discussion and conclusion, further studies/ and or recommendations.2. Is it a paper, study, research, or chapter?3. After representing introduction, the author should clarify the utilization from and conclude all related to points to the current research.4. The author took the point of view from leaders only, it is not clear why.5. The scale items are not clear, or mentioned.6. The degree of validity and reliability is not mentioned.7. The hypotheses are not mentioned.8. The variables are not clear; what about gender, age, educational status and years of experinces.	
Minor REVISION comments	-----	
Optional/General comments	-----	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samah Khaled Zahran
Department, University & Country	Women College, Ain Shams University, Egypt