

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_89809
Title of the Manuscript:	COMBINED EFFECT OF ACIDIC BEVERAGES AND IMMERSION TIME ON BONDING PERFORMACE OF COMPOSITE TO ENAMEL: AN IN-VITRO STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajds.com/index.php/AJDS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript is scientifically interesting and with clear objectives in the investigation of the combined effect of time and acidic beverages on strength of composite resin to enamel and that can be indicated for publication within the scope of Asian Journal of Dental Sciences. The manuscript is very objective in its proposal and with replicable methodology . It is well documented and with numerous illustrations and microscopy that enriched the work.	
Minor REVISION comments	1. Correct the word "bonding performance" in the title for bonding strength 2. Table 1 (pH of immersion media) I would remove and describe this data directly in the methodology.	
Optional/General comments	Yes, A single attention would be in relation to the patient's consent donating teeth to the aforementioned clinics, not infringing in this way any ethical issue. Suggestion: The mention that the teeth were donated by the patients to the professionals of these clinics would be enough.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	J. Martos
Department, University & Country	University of Pelotas, Brazil