

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_87863
Title of the Manuscript:	Recent Advances in Biomimetic Materials Used in Restorative Dentistry: An Updated Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajds.com/index.php/AJDS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This review article updates the new biomimetic materials used in restorative dentistry. The manuscript contains four keywords, one table, and twenty-six references. Overall, it is a correct document, perhaps too concise. Some remarks are made in different sections of the manuscript.</p> <p>Keywords The manuscript presents four keywords. For keywords, where possible, please use Medical Subject Headings terms (MeSH Terms). None of them is a MeSH term. An alternative MeSH term proposed could be “dentistry, operative” better than “restorative dentistry”. These suggestions about keywords are optional, not mandatory.</p> <p>General comments In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in square brackets [1], not as superscripts¹. Please, correct this in the whole manuscript text. To make text understanding easier, if the author's name appears in the text, place the reference number immediately after the name, not at the end of the sentence or paragraph. Abbreviations and acronyms should be explained the first time they are used, e.g. GIC/PRIMM, MOD, PFM, etc. References must be numbered in the order that they appear in the text. References #13 and #23 do not appear cited in the text. Please, include them.</p> <p>References Total number of manuscript references: 26. This section requires a comprehensive revision. References should be checked carefully to transcribe them accurately. There are too many different reference formats. The reference format does not match the journal's instructions about references. In references #3 and #4, why has the author's name been written all in capital letters? Please, follow the following format for journal's references: 1. Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clin Exp Allergy. 2002;32(4):489-98. Note: List the first six authors followed by et al. Note: Use of DOI number for the full-text article is encouraged. (if available). Note: Authors are also encouraged to add other database's unique identifier (like PUBMED ID). For further information about this topic, please consult the following link: https://journalajds.com/index.php/AJDS/about/submissions</p> <p>Tables Total number of manuscript tables: 1. The table has an appropriate title and information.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alberto Rodriguez-Archilla
Department, University & Country	University of Granada, Spain