

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Dental Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_83431
Title of the Manuscript:	Multidisciplinary Approach for Mapping Genetic Variants in Naevoid Basal Cell Carcinoma Syndrome. Newly Identified Patched 1 Mutations in Half-Sisters.
Type of the Article	Case study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajds.com/index.php/AJDS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. "The aims of this study were to diagnose and treat NBCCS patients....". <b>The study does not intend to review or analyse the treatment modalities and neither elaborates on the treatment of NBCCS. Therefore, the term "treat" should be deleted.</b></li><li>2. <b>Was this study performed according to the principles of the World Medical Association's Declaration of Helsinki on experimentation involving human subjects? If so, it must be mentioned in the manuscript.</b></li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>3. "If a genitourinary or a central nervous system disorder is suspected, magnetic resonance imaging of the region of interest is carried out too". <b>How did the authors detect GU or CNS disorders? Their diagnostic approach should be mentioned.</b></li><li>4. <b>Patient 1: What other diagnostic modalities were utilized in order to diagnose NBCCS in this patient? Other diagnostic approaches should be mentioned.</b></li><li>5. <b>Patient 2: What was the rationale behind the endodontic treatment? It should be mentioned.</b></li><li>6. <b>The "Discussion" aspect of the manuscript lacks details. Authors should elaborate more on the whole aspect of genetic predispositions in NBCCS. The article "Škodrić-Trifunović, Vesna et al. "Novel patched 1 mutations in patients with nevoid basal cell carcinoma syndrome--case report." <i>Croatian medical journal</i> vol. 56,1 (2015): 63-7. doi:10.3325/cmj.2015.56.63" could be used to gain a perspective on the genetic predisposition and to add to the discussion section.</b></li><li>7. <b>The authors don't reach a definite conclusion due to the missing link in genetic mapping. Therefore, it should be added that in order to reach a solid correlation, more future studies are necessary.</b></li></ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The authors tackle an interesting topic. However, lack of elaboration and explanation on some areas make the manuscript a bit vague. The authors should elaborate on the discussion section.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>Was this study performed according to the principles of the World Medical Association's Declaration of Helsinki on experimentation involving human subjects? If so, it must be mentioned in the manuscript.</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ramtin Dastgir
Department, University & Country	Islamic Azad University, Iran