

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_83115
Title of the Manuscript:	MANAGEMENT OF RADIX ENTOMOLARIS IN MANDIBULAR FIRST MOLAR – A CASE SERIES
Type of the Article	CASE REPORT

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajds.com/index.php/AJDS/editorial-policy>)

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Dear Ms. Ruma Bag,</p> <p>I am sending you the manuscript Ms_AJDS_83115, titled: MANAGEMENT OF RADIX ENTOMOLARIS IN MANDIBULAR FIRST MOLAR – A CASE SERIES.</p> <p>Management of the different anatomic variations in mandibular canals can be challenging. Therefore, this kind of case series may be beneficial to give some specific information. However, the work needs major revision due to problems in the structure of the text, contents and spelling errors. I have corrected some spelling errors in the main text. You can see them in the track-change format. Additionally, I have written my comments in the body of the text to be seen by the corresponding author.</p> <p>TITLE</p> <p>I suggest changing the title to 'Endodontic management of mandibular first molars with radix entomolaris: Two case reports.'</p> <p>ABSTRACT</p> <p>Aim: The aim of the study was not given clearly. Please create an understandable sentence.</p> <p>Presentation of case: 'Mandibular molars have numerous variations in their internal anatomy, one of which is an extra disto-lingual root which is known as radix entomolaris (RE) which can cause various complications and procedural errors during endodontic treatment'. This sentence does not fit in this section. If the author wants to mention about this knowledge, she/he can give a piece of short information in aim.</p> <p>In this part, I would suggest brief information about two cases.</p> <p>Conclusion: Conclusion should be the answer to the aim. Please specify the importance of identifying extra canals.</p> <p>Keywords: Please add two more keywords such as anatomic variation, disto-lingual root...</p> <p>INTRODUCTION</p> <p>In the last paragraph, the link between the purpose of the study and how this study is going to be performed was not indicated. Please make it more intense and robust.</p> <p>CASE REPORT 1</p> <p>'lower left back teeth' Please change it to 'mandibular left first molar'</p> <p>'An apex locator (Canalpro, Coltene) was used to determine the working length of canals electronically; which was confirmed radiographically.' City and country names of the brands should be also included.</p> <p>'Canals were instrumented using ProTaper rotary files (Dentsply Maillefer, Switzerland) and irrigated with 3% sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) and 17% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) solution.' ProTaper Universal, Gold or Next ? Please write the type of the files.</p> <p>' Sealapex sealer and then a permanent restoration was done to seal the access cavity.' Please</p>	

Review Form 1.6

	<p>indicate the brand of the sealer.</p> <p>'lower right back tooth' Please write as 'mandibular left first molar'.</p> <p>DISCUSSION</p> <p>'Many researchers and De Moor et al. have studied RE and concluded that most of the RE are curved'. This sentence is not suitable for this paragraph. Please remove it.</p> <p>Please create a new paragraph and mention the incidence of the RE and how often clinicians miss them.</p> <p>'The prevalence of RE ranges from 0.2 to 32% differing significantly between races.' Please refer to more than 1 article.</p> <p>I would suggest the author write the last paragraph of the discussion part and gather all aspects of treatment outcomes.</p> <p>CONCLUSION</p> <p>The conclusion part is very long. It should refer to aim. Therefore please give a brief and understandable conclusion.</p> <p>REFERENCES</p> <p>References and citations are not formatted according to Author's guidelines. Please recheck it and correct them.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1) The paper is not prepared well. The author should check and redesign it. 2) I corrected some spelling errors. The authors and editor can see them as the track-change format. However, intensive English editing is necessary. 3) Transitions between paragraphs do not continue in harmony with each other. The general structure of the main text should be designed again.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Sıla Nur Usta</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Turkey</p>